



Mircea <mircea27v@gmail.com>

Public Records Act Request :: P003089-120825

Mircea <mircea27v@gmail.com>

Fri, Jan 2, 2026 at 9:08 PM

To: City of Menlo Park Public Records Center <menloparkca@mycusthelp.net>, cityclerk@menlopark.gov

Cc: alon danino <alondn@gmail.com>

Dear Ms. Sandoval,

I write in response to the City's January 2, 2026 correspondence and initial document production related to my California Public Records Act request concerning SB 9 Urban Lot Split applications.

While I acknowledge receipt of the materials provided, the City has not yet produced the core records responsive to the request, namely application-specific records showing the actual SB 9 applications approved and the fees assessed and paid in each case. The documents produced to date consist largely of general SB 9 implementation materials and adopted fee schedules, which do not satisfy the request.

For clarity and to eliminate any ambiguity, this letter serves as a **formal demand for full CPRA compliance** with respect to the following existing records maintained by the City in the ordinary course of business:

Records Required to Be Produced

1. **A complete list of all SB 9 Urban Lot Split applications submitted and approved by the City of Menlo Park from January 1, 2022 to present**, including application numbers, addresses/APNs, and approval status.
2. **For each approved SB 9 application**, all records reflecting fees actually assessed and collected, including but not limited to:
 - Application fee invoices and receipts
 - Deposit and accounting records
 - Planning, engineering, public works, and map review charges
 - Time-and-materials billing records
 - **Park in-lieu fees assessed and paid, including the \$127,400 park in-lieu fee where applicable**
 - Any other fees imposed as part of SB 9 processing or approval
3. **Any internal spreadsheets, databases, or accounting summaries** that track SB 9 applications and the fees charged or collected on a per-application basis.

Legal Basis

This request seeks **only existing, identifiable public records** and does **not** require the City to create new documents, analyses, or reports. Records reflecting permit applications, approvals, and fees collected are routinely maintained by local agencies and are plainly disclosable under Government Code sections 7920.000 et seq.

Fee schedules, ordinances, or generalized policy documents are **not substitutes** for records showing **what applicants were actually charged and paid**.

If the City contends that any responsive records are being withheld or redacted, the City must:

- Identify the **specific statutory exemption** relied upon, and
- Provide a **particularized explanation** of how that exemption applies to each withheld category of record, as required by law.

Deadline

The City has represented that additional disclosures will be made on or before **January 16, 2026**. I expect that production to include the **application-level SB 9 fee records described above**.

If the City fails to produce these records, or produces only generalized materials rather than application-specific fee records, I will consider the City to be **out of compliance with the CPRA** and will evaluate all available remedies, including judicial enforcement.

I prefer to resolve this matter without court intervention and trust the City will comply fully and promptly.

Sincerely,
Mircea Voskerician
[Quoted text hidden]